Postal Regulatory Commission Submitted 3/6/2012 3:55:29 PM Filing ID: 80907 Accepted 3/6/2012

BEFORE THE POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

MAIL PROCESSING NETWORK RATIONALIZATION SERVICE CHANGES, 2012

Docket No. N2012-1

APPLICATION OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE FOR NON-PUBLIC TREATMENT OF LIBRARY REFERENCE USPS-LR-N2012-1/NP15

(March 6, 2012)

In accordance with 39 C.F.R. § 3007.21, the United States Postal Service hereby submits the attached application for non-public treatment of library reference USPS-LR-N2012-1/NP15, filed today in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux Chief Counsel, Pricing & Product Support

Matthew J. Connolly Attorney

475 L'Enfant Plaza, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260-1137 (202) 268-8582; Fax -5418 matthew.j.connolly@usps.gov

APPLICATION OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE FOR NONPUBLIC TREATMENT OF LIBRARY REFERENCE

In accordance with 39 C.F.R. § 3007.21 and Order No. 225,¹ the United States Postal Service (Postal Service) hereby applies for nonpublic treatment of certain data filed under seal with the Commission.

The materials covered by this application consist of data that reveal average daily volumes (ADVs) of First-Class Mail (FCM) carried between specific facilities in the Postal Service's processing network. The source of the data is the Origin Destination Information System (ODIS). These data are contained in library reference USPS-LR-N2012-1/NP15 and have been redacted from the public version of this library reference (USPS-LR-N2012-1/64) also filed today.

By operation of 39 U.S.C. § 410(c)(2), information of a commercial nature, which under good business practice would not be publicly disclosed, is not required to be disclosed to the public. The Commission may determine the appropriate level of confidentiality to be afforded to such information after weighing the nature and extent of the likely commercial injury to the Postal Service against the public interest in maintaining the financial transparency of a government establishment competing in commercial markets. 39 U.S.C. § 504(g)(3)(A). Because the requested information filed non-publicly in this docket falls within the scope of information not required to be disclosed publicly, the Postal Service asks the Commission to support its determination that these materials are exempt from public disclosure and grant its application for their non-public treatment.

- 1 -

¹ PRC Order No. 225, Final Rules Establishing Appropriate Confidentiality Procedures, Docket No. RM2008-1, June 19, 2009.

(1) The rationale for claiming that the materials are nonpublic, including the specific statutory basis for the claim, and a statement justifying application of the provision(s);

The data designated as nonpublic consist of commercial information revealing the volumes of First-Class Mail that are currently transported between specific postal mail processing facilities. Additionally, these data also include estimates of the volumes of First-Class Mail that would be transported between specific processing facilities in a hypothetical, rationalized mail processing environment. Under good business practice, these data would not be disclosed publicly. Based on its long-standing and deep familiarity with postal and communications business and markets generally, and its knowledge of many firms, including competitors, the Postal Service does not believe that any commercial enterprise would voluntarily publish disaggregated volume data reflecting the current or proposed originating or destinating volumes for specific facilities. In the Postal Service's view, this information would be exempt from mandatory disclosure pursuant to 39 U.S.C. § 410(c)(2) and 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(3) and (4).²

(2) Identification, including name, phone number, and email address for any third-party who is known to have a proprietary interest in the materials, or if such an identification is sensitive, contact information for a Postal Service employee who shall provide notice to that third party;

None.

² In appropriate circumstances, the Commission may determine the appropriate level of confidentiality to be afforded to such information after weighing the nature and extent of the likely commercial injury to the Postal Service against the public interest in maintaining the financial transparency of a government establishment competing in commercial markets. 39 U.S.C. § 504(g)(3)(A). The Commission has indicated that "likely commercial injury" should be construed broadly to encompass other types of injury, such as harms to privacy, deliberative process, or law enforcement interests. PRC Order No. 194, Second Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to Establish a Procedure for According Appropriate Confidentiality, Docket No. RM2008-1, Mar. 20, 2009, at 11.

(3) A description of the materials claimed to be nonpublic in a manner that, without revealing the materials at issue, would allow a person to thoroughly evaluate the basis for the claim that they are nonpublic;

The responsive data consist of the volumes of First-Class Mail that are currently transported between specific postal mail processing facilities and that may be transported between such facilities in a hypothetical, rationalized mail processing network.

(4) Particular identification of the nature and extent of commercial harm alleged and the likelihood of such harm;

If the redacted information or information of a similar character or level of disaggregation were to be disclosed publicly, the Postal Service considers that it is quite likely that it would suffer commercial harm. Revelation of the volume of First-Class Mail originating or delivered within a particular market, as defined by the service area of a specific P&DC or P&DF, would unfairly, to the economic detriment of the Postal Service, permit competitors to:

- -- gain specific insight into local Postal Service customer behavior;
- -- better gauge the size of the delivery market in specific service areas, and
- develop strategies for determining what marketing resources to devote to further penetration of specific local markets.

(5) At least one specific hypothetical, illustrative example of each alleged harm;

Identified harm: Public disclosure of the First-Class Mail volume in library reference N2012-1/NP15 would be used by competitors of the Postal Service to the detriment of the Postal Service.

Hypothetical: A competitor's representative obtains access to the data in Library Reference USPS-LR-N2012-1/NP15. It analyzes the data to assess the nature

and scale of that portion of the Postal Service's delivery business consisting of First-Class Mail originating in a particular market in which that competitor operates or seeks to operate. Based upon these data, the competitor assesses the extent to which it wishes to adjust its product offerings, prices, operations and marketing activities to compete for the volume represented by these data. That competitor gains valuable market intelligence without having to make an investment in research. The competitor then can tailor marketing and/or pricing campaigns to acquire customers' business with the consequent loss of volume, revenue and market share to the Postal Service, which has no similar ability to access to data regarding its competitors volumes.

(6) The extent of protection from public disclosure deemed to be necessary;

The Postal Service maintains that the materials filed non-publicly should be withheld from persons involved in competitive decision-making in the relevant markets for competitive delivery products, as well as their consultants and attorneys.

(7) The length of time deemed necessary for the nonpublic materials to be protected from public disclosure with justification thereof; and

The Commission's regulations provide that nonpublic materials shall lose nonpublic status ten years after the date of filing with the Commission, unless the Commission or its authorized representative enters an order extending the duration of that status. 39 C.F.R. § 3007.30.

(8) Any other factors or reasons relevant to support the application.

None.

Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, the Postal Service requests that the Postal Regulatory Commission grant its application for nonpublic treatment of the above-described materials appearing in library reference N2012-1/NP15.